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The title molecule, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, reveals $C_{i}$ point symmetry in the crystal structure. The structure was disordered. The pyran ring is not planar; the O atom lies significantly out of the leastsquares plane (ten times the r.m.s. deviation of all six atoms).

## Comment

Bipyranyls and pyrylium salts are used for photochemical redox reactions. Bipyranyls may be applied as 2 e donors in photoreductions, e.g. such as

$$
2 \mathrm{acrH}^{+}+(\mathrm{tmp})_{2}+\mathrm{h} v \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{tmp}^{+}+(\mathrm{acrH})_{2}
$$

where $\mathrm{acrH}^{+}$is acridinium and $\mathrm{tmp}^{+}$is 2,4,6-trimethylpyrylium. For photo-oxidations with pyrylium salts as e acceptors, attention has to be paid to the equilibrium of bipyranyl and the pyranyl radical,

$$
\mathrm{tmp}^{+}+2 D+\mathrm{h} v \rightarrow 2 D^{+}+2 \mathrm{tmp} \leftarrow \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow(\mathrm{tmp})_{2}
$$

where $D$ is an e donor.
The title molecule, (I), reveals $C_{i}$ point symmetry in the crystal structure. The structure was disordered in such a way

(I)
that each of three C atoms of the pyran ring occupies statisticially two sites with a ratio of $89 / 11$. The sites of the other three ring atoms are not split. This means that the two ring positions are arranged like a roof with an interfacial angle of about $24^{\circ}$. A second crystal studied showed the same effect, with a similar occupation ratio of $81 / 19$ and a corresponding
interfacial angle of about $27^{\circ}$. The pyran ring is not planar; the O atom lies significantly out of the least-squares plane (ten times the r.m.s. deviation of all six atoms).

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized according to Balaban et al. (1964) and crystallized from ethanol.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=246.34$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=7.842$ (2) $\AA$ 。
$b=11.458$ (3) $\AA$
$c=8.824$ (2) $\AA$
$\beta=114.26$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=722.9(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.132 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 5000
$\quad$ reflections
$\theta=2-24^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.073 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=180(2) \mathrm{K}$
Plate, colourless
$0.52 \times 0.40 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Stoe IPDS diffractometer
$R_{\text {int }}=0.033$
$\varphi$-rotation, $\varphi$-incr. $=1.5^{\circ}, 160$ expo-
$\theta_{\text {max }}=28.07^{\circ}$
sure scans
$h=-10 \rightarrow 10$
5901 measured reflections
$k=-15 \rightarrow 15$
1716 independent reflections
1393 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.044$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.119$
$S=1.039$
1716 reflections
121 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$l=-11 \rightarrow 11$
Intensity decay: none

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /[ \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0650 P)^{2} \\
&+0.1273 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.003 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.22 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.16 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The structure is disordered so that split atomic positions of C3, C4 and C5 had to be introduced for the least squares refinement. Without such a 'split model', all parameters of quality for a structure determination become worse as follows: $R_{1}(\mathrm{gt})$ increases from 0.0438 to 0.0751 ; $w R_{2}$ (all) increases from 0.1185 to 0.2182 ; GoF changes from 1.039 to 1.066 ; the long axes of the displacement ellipsoids of $\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 4$ and C5 increase by a factor of about 1.35; and last, but not least, the three highest peaks in the final difference map become significantly larger $\left(1.02,0.82\right.$ and $0.77 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$ ) than its r.m.s. $\left(0.07 \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3}\right)$; the peaks lie nearest to $\mathrm{C} 4(1.09 \AA), \mathrm{C} 5(0.82 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{C} 3(0.79 \AA)$, respectively.

Data collection: IPDS-2.87 (Stoe \& Cie, 1997); cell refinement: $I P D S$-2.87; data reduction: $I P D S-2.87$; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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